UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,763	04/14/2004	Keith P. Vogel	10-1473	4722
23117 7590 NIXON & VAND	•	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			HALPERN, MARK	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			,	www.
SHORTENED STATUTORY PI	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	HS	04/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		the state of the s			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/823,763	VOGEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of the	Mark Halpern	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tip will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	arch 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-18 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers		:			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/13/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/823,763 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

1) Applicant's election without traverse of invention I, drawn on claims 1-10, in the reply filed on 3/14/2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 11-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Prior art references recited in Specification [0010], [0011] and [0023] should be listed on form PTO-1449.

Art Unit: 1731

Drawings

3) It is not clear if Figure 1 is Prior Art and should be designated as -- Prior Art--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 1-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snekkenes (6,086,717).

Claims 1-3, 6-8: Snekkenes discloses a top separator in a digester for making pulp. As shown in Figure 2, the top separator is of structure claimed and includes a supply line 21 turning upward bringing impregnated chips into the bottom portion of the separator. On the same horizontal broken center line, without a numerical designation, is a supply line entering from the right side of the vessel and turning downward for discharging chips directly into the vessel. There are thus two chip inlet conduits into the vessel, instead of one, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made that the two inlet conduits of Snekkenes perform the same function as that of the claimed one chip inlet conduit. Further, the present specification does not recite any advantages of having one chip inlet conduit over the two conduits of the cited prior art (col. 3, line 29 to col. 8, line 32, and Figures 1-2).

Application/Control Number: 10/823,763

Art Unit: 1731

Page 4

Operation modes are method and not structural limitations. The flange coupling in the inlet conduit performs the same function as that of two inlet conduits.

Claim 4-5: the screen and conveyor are disclosed.

Claims 9-10: liquid level fill is an operational and not a structural aspect.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Halpern

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731